

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,225	09/19/2003	Joseph J. Estwanik	051586/310309	7016
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 03/19/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			AMERSON, LORI BAKER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		. 3764	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- · · ·		·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/667,225	ESTWANIK, JOSE	ESTWANIK, JOSEPH J.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	- 	L Amerson	3764			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	iress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, man. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) is statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this core ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on (06 December 2006.	•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>14,15 and 19</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,11-13,16 and 18</u> is/are reClaim(s) <u>4,10 and 17</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected of the drawing(s) be held in absorrection is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ring(s) is objected to. See 37 CF			
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[_] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received i priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application Noeen received in this National	Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152)		

Response to Amendment

Page 2

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-13, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as a. being unpatentable over Metzger in view of Hoff. Metzger discloses an apparatus comprising a rocker device (figure 1) having a pair of lower rocking surfaces 22 that are arcuately shaped with a constant radius (figure 2) having an upper platform surface 10, 12, 14, having a cushioned recess (figure 3) and capable for receiving a user's knee in bent condition for stretching. Metzger is also capable of performing the method comprising the steps of resting the lower surface on a flat surface, placing a knee in the recess and rocking backward and forward. Metzger discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the angularly converging surfaces attached at an angle of approximately 90 degrees to one another. Thus, in an analogous art, Hoff teaches a device having two angularly converging surfaces attached at an angle of approximately 90 degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to converge the surfaces angularly at an angle of at

least 90 degrees to prevent hyperextending the knee which causes injury or hyperflexing the spine.

b. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Metzger and Hoff in view of Parker. Metzger and Hoff disclose all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the handle. Thus, in an analogous art, Parker teaches a device having a handle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Metzger in view of Parker such that a handle allows for transport of a device by a user.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 10 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 14-15 and 19 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori Amerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tue, Thur-Fri. Interviews Tue. and Thur..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LORI AMERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER